

Assessment on teaching/learning methods

By

II Year MBBS Students, 2021-22 Batch

Pondicherry Institute of Medical Sciences

August, 2024

Contents

Introduction.....	4
Results.....	4
1. Faculty	4
Quality of Teaching	4
i. Arousal of Interest	4
ii. Clarity of Communication	5
iii. Adequate Interaction.....	5
2. Approachability.....	6
3. Punctuality	6
i. Starting on Time	6
ii. Ending on Time	7
4. Visual aids.....	7
i. Appropriation.....	7
ii. Clarity	8
iii. Pictorial representation	8
5. Practical Training.....	9
i. Opportunity for hands on training.....	9
ii. Small group discussion	9
iii. Clinical Relevance	10
6. Internal Assessment	10
a. Coverage of Syllabus	10
i. Full portions covered	10
ii. University pattern followed	11
iii. Analytical skills tested.....	11
b. Conduct of Exam	12
i. Question Paper Given	12
ii. Seating	12
iii. Adequate Supervision.....	13
c. Valuation	13
i. Fair Valuation	13
ii. Discussion of Papers.....	14

iii. Feedback after Valuation.....	14
Appendix.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.

Introduction

This report summarises the assessment by 2nd year UG students on the departments which involved in the second year curriculum (i.e., Pharmacology, Pathology, and Microbiology). A five point Likert scale (very bad, bad, satisfactory, good, excellent) was used to rate various aspects of teaching/learning of those departments. There were 21 items in the Google form and 110 respondents. Responses obtained from the students were analysed and results were presented as bar charts. Suggestions and comments by students for the improvement were given in Appendix.

Results

1. Faculty

Quality of Teaching

i. Arousal of Interest




Figure 1. Department wise distribution of responses on Arousal of Interest by the Faculties

ii. Clarity of Communication




Figure 2. Department wise distribution of responses on Clarity of Communication by Faculties

iii. Adequate Interaction




Figure 3. Department wise distribution of responses on Adequate Interaction by Faculties

2. Approachability




Figure 4. Department wise distribution of responses on Approachability of Faculties

3. Punctuality

i. Starting on Time




Figure 5. Department wise distribution of responses on Starting on Time (punctuality)

ii. Ending on Time




Figure 6. Department wise distribution of responses on Ending on Time (punctuality)

4. Visual aids

i. Appropriation




Figure 7. Department wise distribution of responses on Appropriate Material

ii. Clarity



Figure 8. Department wise distribution of responses on Clarity of Visual Aids

iii. Pictorial representation




Figure 9. Department wise distribution of responses on Pictorial representation of Visual Aids

5. Practical Training

i. Opportunity for hands on training




Figure 10. Department wise distribution of responses on Opportunity for hands on training

ii. Small group discussion




Figure 11. Department wise distribution of responses on Small group discussion

iii. Clinical Relevance




Figure 12. Department wise distribution of responses on Clinical Relevance

6. Internal Assessment

a. Coverage of Syllabus

i. Full portions covered




Figure 13. Department wise distribution of responses on Full portions covered

ii. University pattern followed




Figure 14. Department wise distribution of responses on University pattern followed in the Coverage of Syllabus

iii. Analytical skills tested



Figure 15. Department wise distribution of responses on Analytical skills tested in the Coverage of Syllabus

b. Conduct of Exam

i. Question Paper Given




Figure 16. Department wise distribution of responses on Question Paper Given in conduct of exam

ii. Seating




Figure 17. Department wise distribution of responses on Seating in conduct of exam

iii. Adequate Supervision




Figure 18. Department wise distribution of responses on Adequate Supervision in conduct of exam

c. Valuation

i. Fair Valuation




Figure 19. Department wise distribution of responses on Fair Valuation in Valuation

ii. Discussion of Papers




Figure 20. Department wise distribution of responses on Discussion of Papers in Valuation

iii. Feedback after Valuation




Figure 21. Department wise distribution of responses on Feedback after Valuation